

ND

PERFORMANCE	T:	4	
PERFURMANCE	IRENDS LI	VER 4-YEAR	PERILID

**Our School** 

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Average	No

# PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Definition of Critical Terms
Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient
Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic
Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

## EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	23	38	40
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	97.4%	85.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	94.7%	82.5%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	100.0%	100.0%	92.5%

DAST DEDECTANDE BY GROUP

	/	ent Sting	, eg /	Basil	/ ¿w /	ficient	anced	ient ance
	Emolif	Rent Testing	lested olo Be	alow Basil of	Basic oh	Proficient of	Advanced of Profi	cient arcei
	/ • •		9(0   = 1	glish/Lar	iguage Ai	/	/ 6/6	/ %
All students	235	99.6	15.4	51.1	29.9	3.6	33.5	17.6
Gender	_,,							
Male	111	99.1	18.9	55.7	24.5	0.9	25.5	17.6
Female	124	100.0	12.2	47.0	34.8	6.1	40.9	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	168	100.0	10.7	48.4	35.8	5.0	40.9	17.6
African-American	66	98.5	27.9	59.0	13.1	N/A	13.1	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status		100.0	14/7 (	14/71	14// (	14// (	14/73	17.0
Not disabled	203	100.0	12.3	50.8	32.8	4.1	36.9	17.6
Disabled	32	96.9	38.5	53.8	7.7	N/A	7.7	17.6
Migrant Status	32	30.3	30.3	JJ.0	1.1	IN/A	1.1	17.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant		99.6	15.4	51.1	29.9	3.6	33.5	17.6
English Proficiency	235	99.0	15.4	31.1	29.9	3.0	33.3	17.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient								
Socio-Economic Status	235	99.6	15.4	51.1	29.9	3.6	33.5	17.6
Subsidized meals	4.47	99.3	20.9	54.5	23.1	1.5	24.6	17.6
	147							
Full-pay meals	88	100.0	6.9	46.0	40.2	6.9	47.1	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	235	100.0	14.9	47.3	23.4	14.4	37.8	15.5
Gender	200	10010			2011		0110	10.0
Male	111	100.0	20.6	46.7	15.0	17.8	32.7	15.5
Female	124	100.0	9.6	47.8	31.3	11.3	42.6	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	124	100.0	0.0	47.0	01.0	11.0	72.0	10.0
White	168	100.0	9.4	45.3	27.0	18.2	45.3	15.5
African-American	66	100.0	29.0	53.2	12.9	4.8	17.7	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status		100.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN//A	IN/A	IN/A	10.0
Not disabled	203	100.0	11.3	46.7	25.6	16.4	42.1	15.5
Disabled	32	100.0	40.7	51.9	7.4	N/A	7.4	15.5
Migrant Status	JZ	100.0	70.1	01.0	7.7	11//\	7.4	10.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	235	100.0	14.9	47.3	23.4	14.4	37.8	15.5
English Proficiency	200	100.0	17.3	77.0	20.7	7.7	51.0	10.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	235	100.0	14.9	47.3	23.4	14.4	37.8	15.5
Socio-Economic Status	233	100.0	14.3	41.3	20.4	14.4	31.0	10.0
Subsidized meals	1.17	100.0	19.3	54.1	17.0	9.6	26.7	15.5
	147							
Full-pay meals	88	100.0	8.0	36.8	33.3	21.8	55.2	15.5

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL									
		Enrolle	Rent 1st string	, ked /	alow Basic	ik /	Proficient ob	Advanced Advanced	itent and Advanced
		Enroll	'is diffe of	rested olo Bi	NOW OF	Basic ok	Skg. 0/0	Advis olo biolic	ientanted Advanced
				Englis	n/Languag	ge Arts			
4	Grade 3	46	N/A	15.2	50.0	30.4	4.3	34.8	
ı	Grade 4	44	N/A	6.8	50.0	34.1	9.1	43.2	
2	Grade 5	24	N/A	20.8	58.3	20.8	N/A	20.8	
å	Grade 5 Grade 6	36	N/A	25.0	52.8	19.4	2.8	22.2	
	Grade 7	30	N/A	6.9	41.4	41.4	10.3	51.7	
•	Grade 8	35	N/A	20.0	48.6	20.0	11.4	31.4	
4	Grade 3	40	100.0	13.2	36.8	42.1	7.9	50.0	
	Grade 4	49	100.0	6.4	53.2	36.2	4.3	40.4	
2	Grade 5	45	100.0	11.1	57.8	26.7	4.4	31.1	
Š	Grade 5 Grade 6	27	100.0	41.7	37.5	20.8	N/A	20.8	
	Grade 7	39	97.4	16.2	59.5	24.3	N/A	24.3	
•	Grade 8	35	100.0	16.7	56.7	23.3	3.3	26.7	

	Mathematics											
	Grade 3	46	N/A	23.9	47.8	15.2	13.0	28.3				
	Grade 4	44	N/A	9.1	43.2	18.2	29.5	47.7				
2002	Grade 5	24	N/A	29.2	50.0	16.7	4.2	20.8				
20	Grade 6	36	N/A	25.0	44.4	22.2	8.3	30.6				
	Grade 7	30	N/A	31.0	24.1	31.0	13.8	44.8				
•	Grade 8	35	N/A	45.7	31.4	17.1	5.7	22.9				
	Grade 3	40	100.0	15.8	63.2	18.4	2.6	21.1				
	Grade 4	49	100.0	4.3	57.4	23.4	14.9	38.3				
2003	Grade 5	45	100.0	11.1	46.7	26.7	15.6	42.2				
20	Grade 6	27	100.0	20.8	29.2	20.8	29.2	50.0				
	Grade 7	39	100.0	21.1	34.2	26.3	18.4	44.7				
	Grade 8	35	100.0	23.3	43.3	23.3	10.0	33.3				

# SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 336)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	No change	10.9%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.8%	Up from 0.5%	3.3%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	95.8%	Down from 96.4%	95.1%	95.2%
	15.0%	Up from 13.3%	12.3%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	8.7%	Down from 9.4%	14.8%	14.1%
	0.9%	No change	4.8%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	Down from 0.3%	1.0%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 24)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	62.5%	Down from 68.2%	45.5%	47.1%
	83.3%	Down from 90.9%	80.0%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	90.5%	Down from 93.7%	81.7%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.0%	Up from 95.9%	94.8%	95.0%
	\$41,008	Down 0.7%	\$38,702	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	11.4 days	Up from 9.5 days	10.2 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	14.0	Up from 13.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	19.4 to 1	Up from 18.4 to 1	20.8 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.2%	Down from 91.4%	88.6%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,238	Down 6.6%	\$5,875	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	72.0%	Up from 71.9%	62.8%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.1%	Up from 95.8%	94.8%	94.8%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

						Ū			
1	N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-2003 school year has been very challenging and rewarding at Ruby Elementary School. With the opening of the new Chesterfield-Ruby Middle School in August, 2003, the eighth graders are the last class to go directly to Chesterfield High School from Ruby Elementary. Through extremely hard work and dedication from our faculty, staff, and students, many positive achievements have taken place. Our students showed improvement and performed well academically, artistically, and athletically. Among our accomplishments are the following:

The percentage of students scoring "basic" or above on the 2002 PACT rose from 70 percent to 74 percent in Math and from 77 percent to 85 percent in English/Language Arts. The percentage of students scoring "advanced" or "proficient" was 34 percent in Math and 37 percent in English/Language Arts.

Thirty-five students qualified for the ALPHA gifted/talented program.

Our school participated in the South Carolina Reading Initiative Program.

Our school maintained South Carolina and Southern Association of Colleges and Schools accreditation.

The Volunteer Program met the district goal and set a school record with 2,365 hours.

The girls' basketball team won the region championship with a 13-1 record, and the boys' basketball team won the region championship with a 14-0 record.

An Artist-in-Residence, obtained through a South Carolina Arts Commission grant, introduced and instructed students in the art of folk dancing.

An eighth grade student placed third in the AA state high school track championship in girls' pole vault.

Ruby Elementary continues to work cooperatively with the community to provide a positive environment which enables all students to earn a quality education. We envision continued success during the 2003-2004 school year.

Nelson Hendrick, Principal

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.